

The Lakewood Township Committee held a Meeting on Thursday, May 5, 2016 in the Lakewood Municipal Building, at 5:30 PM for the Workshop/Executive Session and 7:30 PM for the Public Meeting, with the following present:

Mayor.....Menashe P. Miller
Deputy Mayor.....Albert D. Akerman
Committee Members.....Raymond Coles
Michael J. D’Elia
Meir Lichtenstein
Municipal Manager.....Thomas Henshaw
Municipal Attorney.....Steven Secare, Esq.
Municipal Clerk.....Kathryn Hutchinson

Adequate notice of this meeting has been provided in accordance with the provisions of the Open Public Meetings Act, N.J.S.A. 10:4-6, and published in the Asbury Park Press on January 6, 2016 revised via email on April 28, 2016.

ROLL CALL

CLOSED SESSION

Resolution No. 2016-184 - Adopted

ROLL CALL

SALUTE TO THE FLAG AND PRAYER

OPEN SESSION

Motion by Committeeman Coles, second by Committeeman Lichtenstein, and carried, to open the meeting.

MOTION TO APPROVE MINUTES OF: April 7, 2016 and April 14, 2016

Motion by Committeeman Lichtenstein, second by Deputy Mayor Akerman, and carried, to approve the above Minutes. Committeeman Coles abstained.

BOND NOTE SALE-CERTIFICATE OF DETERMINATION AND AWARD

PRESENTATIONS

2016 FIRE BUDGET

Mayor Miller advised the numbers are the same. The DCA asked the Township to just redo the Resolution.

Committeeman D’Elia removed himself from the dais.

Public Hearing - 2016 Fire Budget

Mayor Miller opened the meeting to the public.

Larry Loigman – Stated that he was just wondering; the Mayor just mentioned something about DCA, and asked what exactly is going on here.

Mr. Secare explained that DCA did not like the language of the Resolution, and they did not change any of the numbers, but asked that the Township change the verbiage. The Resolution was submitted to DCA for pre-approval, and they have approved it.

Mayor Miller added that the Committee needs to revote on the same numbers, with the change in verbiage.

Mr. Loigman asked what the effect will be if this Resolution is adopted.

Mayor Miller responded that it will be the same effect as last time.

Mr. Loigman asked what that is. He does not think it was explained last time. He advised he made some comments last time, but he does not think that anyone from the Committee explained to the public what is going to happen.

Mayor Miller responded that by voting on this, the fire department will be able to operate.

Mr. Loigman asked wouldn't they be able to operate if there was no reduction?

Mayor Miller responded why wouldn't they want to make a reduction for the taxpayers.

Mr. Loigman asked what is the effect for the taxpayers. As a taxpayer he would like to know how much difference is this going to mean for his tax bill.

Mayor Miller responded that he did not have that information off hand.

Mr. Loigman stated this is the third time that this is on the Agenda, and it would seem that the Committee would have been briefed by the Manager or somebody from the administration, as to exactly what the effect of this would be on the finances of the average taxpayer. Isn't it in fact the case that this is going to have "0" effect on the tax rate.

Mayor Miller advised he is going to turn this over to the Attorney. He also knows that Mr. Loigman is suing the Township currently so therefore there is a limit as to how much he can say, so he will turn it over to the Township Manager and Township Attorney.

Mr. Secare responded it has a minimal effect on the tax rate, but as you know, the budget was overwhelmingly defeated by the voters, and the Judge asked, when they went into Court a couple of months ago, wasn't this overwhelmingly defeated by the voters, and he was advised that it was.

Mr. Loigman asked what exactly is the effect going to be on the tax rate. As a taxpayer, what could the taxpayer expect had the budget been approved compared to what the taxpayer could expect with this particular budget.

Mr. Henshaw responded \$238,119.00.

Mr. Loigman asked what does that mean in terms of the amount of taxes that would be paid on the average house.

Deputy Mayor Akerman advised that he agrees, \$238,911.00 is not that much money when divided up among twenty something thousand structures. But the Budget, a few years ago was 3.2 million dollars, and it is now 5 million dollars. In a town this size, if we do not have as many volunteers, and if we have more paid firefighters, the Budget can go up to fifteen million dollars. We have one of the lowest Budgets around, and the effect could be the difference between what was 3.2 million dollars to 13 million dollars one day, and that is huge deal. When the public voted it down, they are basically saying we need to go in another direction. And it is not just about this small amount that they are saving, whatever it is is....\$30.00 per home.....it is about the fact that one day it could translate to a couple hundred dollars per home.

Mr. Loigman stated that is a problem for next year's budget.

Deputy Mayor Akerman advised that is the general idea. If you look at everything like that, that every time we cut something, sometimes we cut a \$5,000 newspaper subscription, because you look at everything, and everything adds up to a huge number at the end of the day. But you can't look at just one thing, and say what is the big deal.....\$200,000.00. There are a lot of cuts that they have done over the years that add up to millions of dollars, and if you just look at other districts, some towns that are much smaller than Lakewood, they have Budgets that are three times the size. The question is, do we want to go in that direction, or not. And the taxpayers said, let's not.

Mr. Loigman responded that he sympathizes with Deputy Mayor Akerman, and with the Committee and their interest in being fiscally conservative. He thinks that it is his own philosophy that they should spend as little as possible. But on the other hand, the public protection is the issue here, the public safety is the issue here, and he does not think, once again, that the Committee has spent enough time analyzing this Budget and determining where the fat actually is. And in making these particular cuts, he thinks the Committee has endangered public safety, whereas if they had made other cuts, if they had more carefully studied this, instead of just saying this matter is in litigation so they are not going to listen to whatever it is that Mr. Loigman says, but rather if they had

listened to what he has said in the past, he thinks the Committee would have been able to come up with some cuts that made a little more sense both fiscally and in terms of public fire protection. He thinks what the Committee has done is to make sure that the fire department is not going to be prepared for the types of situations that are going to arise in the future, and are going to make it almost imperative to spend the type of money that you don't want to spend in future years, and at the same time, you are going to compel the continuation of this litigation. There is a situation in which the Resolution was voted upon by the Committee and then essentially knocked down by the Court. And now it has been disapproved by DCA, and he thinks the Committee should take advantage of this unique opportunity to look at this with some sort of care, with some sort of very fine examination to see exactly what it is they are doing, to see that maybe the people who prepared the Resolution, even though they have made these procedural mistakes, maybe they have made some other types of mistakes also, and he does not know if the Manager gave the Committee copies of the study that was done by a third party as to the fire department and its needs. He does not know if the Committee has had the opportunity to read through that, and he does not know if they have had the opportunity to talk to the fire chief and other officials in the fire department. The Deputy Mayor indicated last time that had not been done, and he does not think it has been done since the last meeting, and he thinks that they really need to look out for the public, not just for those people who voted no on the budget, whether or not they understood what this was all about, but he thinks the Committee has an opportunity here, and have an obligation here, and they have a responsibility here, to go into this a little more deeply than just to say yes or no. The public only had that choice to say yes or no. The Committee has the option of looking at this and saying, do we need this particular dollar, and to make this cut blindly, the same one that was made the last month, the same one that was made the previous month, without looking into this, without saying why is it that the Committee has been influenced to make this particular decision without the appropriate examination, he thinks it is a mistake on the part of the Committee and he really encourages the Committee not to vote in favor of this Resolution.

Mr. Secare advised he wants to correct the record because the Mayor did not say he was not listening. Mr. Loigman said in the record that the Mayor was not going to listen, and that is not accurate.

Mayor Miller responded that he was going to say that as well. He advised that he was listening to Mr. Loigman, and he listened to everything Mr. Loigman said. The only thing he said he would not do is reply because they are in litigation.

Mr. Secare confirmed that is correct.

Bill Hobday, 30 Schoolhouse Lane – He absolutely wanted to commend the Township Committee for their hard work, and the professionals that do an excellent job in listening to the people of the Township. When the proposal was made for the Budget, that was the opportunity for those fire officials to put into writing all the aspects of what they were asking for and the reasons why. The people who voted looked at that, made their

judgment, and resoundingly defeated the Budget because it was very ambiguous as to what the raise was for. And therefore, most people said if they don't understand it, they were not going to vote for it, right, wrong, or indifferent. But that was incumbent on the people that created the issue to clearly explain exactly what that raise in the Budget would be for. They had inquired as to what this all meant, and everything they got back was rather ambiguous. So the scenario was, they can't vote for it if they can't explain it. He sees the fire department going on their tasks. He does not feel unsafe at all. He feels like they are the most dedicated, the volunteers as well as the paid firemen, and they do an excellent job. He does not feel in fear at all. He wants to thank them so much for doing their due diligence and wants to thank the professionals, and the Township Attorney for standing up to say don't make the false charges. The Committee works too hard for you.

Seeing no one else wishing to be heard, Mayor Miller closed the public hearing.

Mayor Miller stated they are fortunate to have a Municipal Manager by the name of Tom Henshaw, who was in touch with the Fire Commissioners. They sat together, went over the Budget together, and the Committee has full confidence in their Municipal Manager.

Resolution Determining the 2016 Fire Budget

Motion by Committeeman Coles, second by Deputy Mayor Akerman

On Roll Call – Affirmative: Committeeman Coles, Committeeman Lichtenstein, Deputy Mayor Akerman and Mayor Miller.

Recused: Committeeman D'Elia

Resolution No. 2016-185 – Adopted.

Committeeman D'Elia returned to the dais.

Mayor Miller announced some good news for the residents of Horizons of Woodlake. He turned the announcements over to Mr. Secare.

Mr. Secare advised that his partner, Harold Hensel, Esq., was in Court on Monday, and the case was settled. And this is as far as the Township goes. The Township contributed \$98,000.00 which the Court had said on a number of occasions was a very generous offer on the part of the Township. Frankly he did not think they had to pay that much, but the Township did because of the elected officials. He wrote an e-mail today to the Plaintiff's attorney and told her that the Township's payment would be done the week of June 6th. As far as the Township is concerned, and the Court is concerned, the matter is settled.

Mayor Miller added that the clubhouse will not be taxed from now on. It is common area.

2016 MUNICIPAL BUDGET – Introduction of 2016 Municipal Budget

Mr. Henshaw advised that this years' budget is \$80,649,644.00 with no increase to the taxpayers of Lakewood.

Mayor Miller thanked Deputy Mayor Akerman, who is part of the Finance Committee, Bill Rieker for his hard work and diligence, and Tom Henshaw for all his hard work in putting this Budget together, and he repeated that there is no increase to the Municipal portion of the budget. He can not answer for the fire budget, or for the Board of Education, but for the Municipal Budget, that is filling the potholes, and providing Police Department services, etc., there is no increase to the taxpayers of Lakewood.

Resolution of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean, State of New Jersey, Authorizing the 2016 Municipal Budget to be Read by Title Only.

Motion by Committeeman Coles, second by Committeeman Lichtenstein

On Roll Call – Affirmative: Committeeman Coles, Committeeman Lichtenstein, Committeeman D'Elia, Deputy Mayor Akerman and Mayor Miller.

Resolution No. 2016-186 – Adopted.

RESOLUTION - Introduction of 2016 Municipal Budget

The Township Clerk read the Resolution for the Introduction of the 2016 Municipal Budget into the record.

Motion by Committeeman Coles, second by Committeeman Lichtenstein

On Roll Call – Affirmative: Committeeman Coles, Committeeman Lichtenstein, Committeeman D'Elia, Deputy Mayor Akerman and Mayor Miller.

Resolution No. 2016-187 – Adopted.

ORDINANCE FOR FIRST READING (SECOND READING June 2, 2016)

Calendar Year 2016 - Model Ordinance to Exceed the Municipal Budget Appropriation Limits and to Establish a Cap Bank (N.J.S.A. 40A:4-45.14)

Read by title only for first reading.

The above Ordinance was offered by Committeeman Coles, second by Committeeman Lichtenstein.

On Roll Call – Affirmative: Committeeman Coles, Committeeman Lichtenstein, Committeeman D'Elia, Deputy Mayor Akerman and Mayor Miller.

Ordinance No. 2016-24 adopted on first reading. Second reading and public hearing to be held on June 2, 2016.

ORDINANCES FOR DISCUSSION - None

PUBLIC COMMENT

Comments from the public will be heard for a limit of one (1) hour. Each speaker will have four (4) minutes and shall be limited to one time at the podium.

Mayor Miller opened the meeting to the public.

Carol Newman – Stated she does not understand something. Said the Budget was reduced by two hundred and something thousand dollars, and now they say they are putting a CAP in increasing the 2016 Budget.

Mayor Miller stated there are two separate budgets. There is a Fire Department Budget, and there is a Municipal Budget.

Ms. Newman confirmed that the budget that was reduced was the fire budget.

Mayor Miller responded that is correct. And there is no increase on the tax levy for the Township, on the Municipal Budget.

Ms. Newman continued and commented on garbage collection. Asked why is it that certain businesses do not pay for garbage. She lives in a senior community and pays for garbage collection. Public schools pay for garbage collection. Asked why is it that yeshivas do not pay for garbage collection.

Mr. Secare responded that her statement is not entirely accurate. Businesses pay taxes the same way she does. She lives in a retirement community, where the Township does not pick up their garbage, but the Township reimburses their community for garbage collection.

Ms. Newman continued that businesses in the middle of Lakewood do not pay for garbage collection. They have daily garbage collection and do not pay for it.

Mayor Miller responded that is the downtown.

Ms. Newman added that yeshivas do not pay for garbage collection.

Mayor Miller responded they are “not-for-profits”.

Ms. Newman added she would like to know why public schools pay for garbage collection, and yeshivas do not.

Mayor Miller responded that public schools also fall under a public budget. It does not make a difference if it comes of a right hand budget..... (Mayor was interrupted...)

Ms. Newman repeated that she feels that people who have businesses and Yeshivas should pay for garbage collection. She knows for a fact they are not paying for garbage collection, and would like a straight answer as to why certain people pay and others do not.

Mayor Miller explained that the way it works in Lakewood right now is that residences in Lakewood, not gated communities, but regular residences, have Township Municipal pick-up. It is paid for in your taxes. Communities who have private pick-up, again, are going to be reimbursed by the Township through the "Condo Act". A number of years ago, prior to the present Township Committee, a Committee at some point in time allowed for garbage pick-up in two areas. One of them being the downtown. The philosophy being that in a regular shopping plaza, there is a Management Company, and they have private garbage pick-up. The downtown area does not have a management company. The Lakewood Development Corporation, the town, is its management company. And if all of a sudden all the businesses all had their own haulers come in, it would be chaos. Which is why, in the specific parameters of the downtown area, they have Township garbage pick-up.

Ms. Newman repeated that they do not pay for it.

Mayor Miller continued that prior to the current Township Committee, the prior Committee had established that not-for-profits in town, which includes Georgian Court University, the Stadium, churches, all not-for-profits in town, all have garbage pick-up as well. He further questioned that this may be something that they should be looking at, possibly, to see if that should be changed in general. Mayor Miller offered to stay after the meeting, and he would be more than happy to discuss this matter with Ms. Newman. He repeated that this is what was established, and it is for not-for-profits, not just yeshivas, it includes churches, schools, Georgian Court University, etc.

Walter Lucas – Commented that in the southwest section of Lakewood there are 350 acres. At some point, twenty years from now, the zoning will change. Most of that section does not have sewer. If a thousand more homes are built in that area, you can envision a thousand septic tanks, or septic fields. So in planning, he feels serious consideration should be given to bringing sewer to everyone.

Mayor Lichtenstein advised Mr. Lucas that they discussed this matter at a recent LUAC Meeting. The DEP has put this area of Lakewood into a sewer service area, and sewer is allowed there.

David Drukaroff, 1433 Laurelwood Avenue – Commented on the issue of garbage pick-up procedures for public and private schools.

Beth McGaughlin, Eldorado Drive – Commented on an article in the Asbury Park Press, about skyscrapers being built in Lakewood,

Committeeman Coles responded that he made the mistake of having a hypothetical conversation with an Asbury Park Press reporter. Their question to him was if they ever ran out of land in Lakewood, would they go up. He responded that if you can't go out you will go up. So that is where that came from. There are no plans, nor thoughts or desire, to build skyscrapers.

Gerry Ballwanz, Governors Road – Commented on an application for a six-story building that will come before the Zoning Board on Monday night. Complained about not having the opportunity to review the file and documents if the Zoning Officer is not in the office.

Noreen Gill, 192 Coventry Drive – Commented on the application for a six-story building coming before the Zoning Board. Complained about the traffic at the intersection of Kennedy Boulevard and Squankum Road. Complained about the conditions of rental properties in Coventry Square.

Alice Kelsey, 295B Malvern Court East – Commented on the issue of the closing of the Puerto Rican Action Board at the end of June due to lack of State funding.

Joyce Blay, NJ News and Views, 311 Floral Way, Toms River – Commented with regard to the school district budget problems, and the fire department budget.

Larry Loigman – Asked about Resolution No.9 on the Consent Agenda, if it pertained to the fire department.

Mr. Loigman was advised that the resolution pertained to the EMS union.

Seeing no one else wishing to be heard, Mayor Miller closed the meeting to the public.

CONSENT AGENDA

The items listed below are considered to be routine by the Township of Lakewood and will be enacted by one motion. There will be no formal discussion of these items. If discussion is desired, this item will be removed from the Consent Agenda and will be considered separately.

- 1. Resolution of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean, State of New Jersey, Authorizing Payment for Professional Services to Rehabco, Inc. Resolution No. 2016-188**
- 2. Resolution of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean State of New Jersey, Authorizing the Tax Collector to Reinstate Non-Municipal Tax Lien Resolving Disputed Redemption. Resolution No. 2016-189**

- 3. Resolution of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean State of New Jersey, Authorizing the Execution of a Municipal Services Agreement Between the Township of Lakewood and the Fairways at Lake Ridge Homeowners Association Pursuant to and in Accordance With N.J.S.A. 40:67-23.2 Et Seq.
Resolution No. 2016-190**
- 4. Resolution of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean State of New Jersey, Awarding a Contract to Diamond Construction Pursuant to and in Accordance With N.J.S.A. 40A:11-1 Et Seq.
Resolution No. 2016-191**
- 5. Resolution of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean State of New Jersey, Authorizing the Recordation of a Deed Beyond Thirty (30) Days of Closing and Releasing the Automatic Reverter for Block 170 Lot 14.
Resolution No. 2016-192**
- 6. Resolution of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean State of New Jersey, Authorizing a Grant to Hatzolah Emergency Medical Services From the Lakewood Development Corporation in the Amount of \$20,000 for the Purchase of (1) One Emergency Medical Services Ambulance to Enhance Safety Within the Township of Lakewood.
Resolution No. 2016-193**
- 7. Resolution of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean State of New Jersey, Authorizing the Return of an Overpayment for Block 1087 Lot 2.
Resolution No. 2016-194**
- 8. Resolution of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean State of New Jersey, Awarding Professional Services Contract for FWH in a Joint Venture With Heartwood Consulting Services for No Net Loss Grant.
Resolution No. 2016-195**
- 9. Resolution of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean State of New Jersey, Authorizing the Execution of an Agreement With FMBA Local 380.
Resolution No. 2016-196**
- 10. Resolution of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean, State of New Jersey, Accepting a Bid for Block 830 Lots 42 and 43 as a Result of a Private Land Sale in the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean, State of New Jersey Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40A:12-1 Et Seq.
Resolution No. 2016-197**
- 11. Resolution of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean, State of New Jersey, Authorizing a Refund Based on a Tax Court Judgement.
Resolution No. 2016-198**

Motion by Committeeman Coles, second by Committeeman Lichtenstein, to approve Resolution Nos. 1 through 11 on the Consent Agenda.

On Roll Call – Affirmative: Committeeman Coles (Abstained on Resolution No. 8), Committeeman Lichtenstein, Committeeman D’Elia (Abstained on Resolution No. 8), Deputy Mayor Akerman and Mayor Miller.

Resolution Nos. 2016-188 through 2016-198 – Adopted.

ORDINANCES SECOND READING

Committeeman Lichtenstein removed himself from the dais.

Ordinance of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean, State of New Jersey, Authorizing the Private Sale of Block 1069 Lot 2 in the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean, State of New Jersey at Private Sale Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40A:12-1, Et Seq.

Read by title only for second reading.

Mayor Miller opened the meeting to the public. Seeing no one wishing to be heard, the hearing on this Ordinance was closed to the public.

The above Ordinance was offered by Deputy Mayor Akerman, second by Committeeman D’Elia.

On Roll Call – Affirmative: Committeeman Coles, Committeeman D’Elia, Deputy Mayor Akerman and Mayor Miller.

Recused: Committeeman Lichtenstein

Ordinance No. 2016-17 adopted on second reading.

Committeeman Lichtenstein returned to the dais.

Ordinance of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean, State of New Jersey, Authorizing the Acquisition of Certain Interests in Real Properties by Negotiation, Purchase, Condemnation, or Eminent Domain, Said Properties Being Identified on the Annexed Schedule and Parcel Maps.

Read by title only for second reading.

Mayor Miller opened the meeting to the public.

Joyce Blay, NJ News and Views, 311 Floral Way, Toms River – Asked the Committee to confirm, since this appears to be related to the Airport, the purpose of the condemnation.

Mr. Henshaw responded that this Ordinance deals with the installation of hangars, and possibly moving some woods back a little for the landing and approach to the runway.

Alice Kelsey, 295B Malvern Court – Asked how eminent domain will figure into this Ordinance.

Mayor Miller responded that he does not think that eminent domain is happening here. What is happening is that they have to acquire the land, and they can acquire it by purchase or eminent domain.

Seeing no one else wishing to be heard, the hearing on this Ordinance was closed to the public.

The above Ordinance was offered by Deputy Mayor Akerman, second by Committeeman Lichtenstein.

On Roll Call – Affirmative: Committeeman Coles, Committeeman Lichtenstein, Committeeman D’Elia, Deputy Mayor Akerman and Mayor Miller.

Ordinance No. 2016-18 adopted on second reading.

An Ordinance of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean, State of New Jersey, Amending and Supplementing Chapter II of the Revised General Ordinances of the Township of Lakewood, 1999, Entitled “Administration”, Section 2-76 Entitled “Fees For Miscellaneous Services and Copies of Public Documents”, Specifically Subsection 2-76.1 Entitled “Fees for Miscellaneous Services”

Read by title only for second reading.

Mayor Miller opened the meeting to the public. Seeing no one wishing to be heard, the hearing on this Ordinance was closed to the public.

The above Ordinance was offered by Committeeman Coles, second by Committeeman D’Elia.

On Roll Call – Affirmative: Committeeman Coles, Committeeman Lichtenstein, Committeeman D’Elia, Deputy Mayor Akerman and Mayor Miller.

Ordinance No. 2016-19 adopted on second reading.

Ordinance of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean, State of New Jersey, Changing the Name of a Street to Claire Drive Pursuant to and in Accordance With N.J.S.A. 40:67-1(K), Et Seq.

Read by title only for second reading.

Mayor Miller opened the meeting to the public. Seeing no one wishing to be heard, the hearing on this Ordinance was closed to the public.

The above Ordinance was offered by Committeeman Coles, second by Committeeman Lichtenstein.

On Roll Call – Affirmative: Committeeman Coles, Committeeman Lichtenstein, Committeeman D’Elia, Deputy Mayor Akerman and Mayor Miller.

Ordinance No. 2016-20 adopted on second reading.

ORDINANCES FIRST READING – Second Reading and Public Hearing to be held on May 19, 2016

Ordinance of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean, State of New Jersey, Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40A:21-1, Et Seq., Granting Abatement to Local Property Taxes to Lakewood Equity, LLC, For Facilities Located at Block 549.01 Lot 2, and Authorizing the Mayor and or Designee and the Township Clerk to Execute Any and All Documents Necessary and Proper to Enter Into a Tax Abatement Agreement.

Read by title only for first reading.

The above Ordinance was offered by Committeeman Coles, second by Committeeman D'Elia.

On Roll Call – Affirmative: Committeeman Coles, Committeeman Lichtenstein, Committeeman D'Elia, Deputy Mayor Akerman and Mayor Miller.

Mayor Miller directed the Township Clerk to have someone from this entity present at the May 19th meeting in case the public has any questions.

Ordinance No. 2016-21 adopted on first reading. Second reading and public hearing to be held on May 19, 2016.

Committeeman Lichtenstein removed himself from the dais.

Ordinance of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean, State of New Jersey, Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40A:21-1, Et Seq., Granting Abatement to Local Property Taxes to Chateau Holdings, LLC, For Facilities Located at Block 1040 Lot 1.01, and Authorizing the Mayor and or Designee and the Township Clerk to Execute Any and All Documents Necessary and Proper to Enter Into a Tax Abatement Agreement.

Read by title only for first reading.

The above Ordinance was offered by Committeeman Coles, second by Deputy Mayor Akerman.

On Roll Call – Affirmative: Committeeman Coles, Committeeman D'Elia, Deputy Mayor Akerman and Mayor Miller.

Recused: Committeeman Lichtenstein

Mayor Miller directed the Township Clerk to have someone from this entity be present at the May 19th meeting in case anyone from the public has any questions.

Ordinance No. 2016-22 adopted on first reading. Second reading and public hearing to be held on May 19, 2016.

Committeeman Lichtenstein returned to the dais.

Ordinance of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean, State of New Jersey, Amending an Ordinance Entitled “An Ordinance Establishing the Annual Minimum and Maximum Salary Ranges for the Offices and Positions of Persons Employed by the Township of Lakewood in the County of Ocean and the State of New Jersey” and Providing for an Effective Date 20 Days After Publication After Final Adoption.

Read by title only for first reading.

The above Ordinance was offered by Committeeman Coles, second by Committeeman Lichtenstein.

On Roll Call – Affirmative: Committeeman Coles, Committeeman Lichtenstein, Committeeman D’Elia, Deputy Mayor Akerman and Mayor Miller.

Ordinance No. 2016-23 adopted on first reading. Second reading and public hearing to be held on May 19, 2016.

CORRESPONDENCE – PARKS AND EVENTS

Per schedule of twenty-one (21) parks/events requests, attached hereto and made a part hereof.

Motion by Committeeman Coles, second by Committeeman Lichtenstein, and carried, to accept and process the above correspondence items.

MOTION TO APPROVE BILL LIST OF: May 4, 2016

Motion by Committeeman D’Elia, second by Committeeman Coles, to approve the above Bill List.

On Roll Call – Affirmative: Committeeman Coles, Committeeman Lichtenstein, Committeeman D’Elia, Deputy Mayor Akerman and Mayor Miller.

Bill List approved.

COMMENTS FROM COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Mayor Miller announced that the Memorial Day Parade will be held on the last Monday of May, and he invited everyone to join in the festivities, and if they have an organization or personally would like to march in the parade, to please reach out to his office.

Mayor Miller advised that this morning he was on a radio show, and someone called in and said that they heard in Lakewood there are a lot students and no one gets legally married. They all stay single so they can get all the government entity financial assistance they can get. He burst out laughing because the Lakewood Registrar’s Office is in constant use, issuing marriage licenses. He reminded the public that if you call the Registrar’s Office, and you can’t get an appointment because they are so overloaded because of the amount of marriages in Lakewood, they do marriages also on a Sunday. He will perform a marriage on a weekend as well.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion by Committeeman Lichtenstein, second by Deputy Mayor Akerman, and carried, to adjourn the meeting. Meeting adjourned at 8:29 PM.